Shri Ravinder Kataria, (M: 94631-33590), # 64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar, Dugri Road, Ludhiana.



Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-178, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

..... Appellant

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3201 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant is present. Shri Dharminder Singh, Steno is present on behalf of Shri Sukhwinder Kumar, PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.05.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.06.2019. A reply was sent to him by the PIO vide letter No.1445 dated 17.05.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.08.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the present Bench. Notice was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant as well as the nominee of the PIO are present today. The respondent stated that the PIO has wrote letter no.1445 dated 17.05.2019 to the appellant and asked him to visit the office of the PIO on any working day for inspection of the record as the information sought by the appellant was voluminous in size. The respondent further stated that the appellant has not visited the office of the PIO for inspection.

On the other hand the appellant stated that he has visited the office of the PIO, but no incharge of the record met him in the office. So, in the interest of justice an opportunity is

Appeal Case No. 3201 of 2019

granted to the appellant to visit the office of the PIO on 06.11.2019 at 2:00 P.M. and the PIO, Shri Sukhwinder Kumar will be responsible to provide the relevant record to the appellant for inspection and provide him attested copies of information which will be claimed by the appellant after the inspection. In case the appellant would not visit the office of the PIO for inspection then the PIO should write a letter to the Commission that the appellant has not complied with the Order of the Commission.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 23.10.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Pritam Singh Kainth, (M: 98888-77753), S/o Shri Amar Singh, H.No. 103, Sector 65, Mohali.

..... Complainant

.....Respondent

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o GMADA, Mohali.

Complaint Case No. 563 of 2018

Present:- Shri Pritam Singh Kainth, complainant/applicant is absent. Shri Gulshan Kumar, (M: 8872712948), PIO-cum-AEO, O/o GMADA, Mohali is present.

ORDER

I have gone through the RTI application dated 18.04.2019 filed by the complainant/applicant and also gone through the Order dated 30.09.2019.

The PIO, Shri Gulshan Kumar has come present and he has submitted a copy of letter no.59635 dated 10.10.2019 issued by the EO, GMADA to Smt. Amita Mahajan, W/o Ravi Mahajan and a copy of the said letter is sent to Shri P.S. Kainth, complainant/applicant. The information sought by the complainant/applicant has been sent to him by the PIO.

The complainant/applicant filed RTI application and sought that what action has been taken upon his application dated 05.10.2018 vide diary no.36516 regarding the removal of encroachment made by the owner of House No.106, Sector 65, Mohali. The PIO has issued a Show Cause Notice to the concerned person and informed the complainant/applicant regarding the issuance of the Show Cause Notice.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Gulshan Kumar, PIO on 29.08.2019. The PIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the reply and also heard the PIO on the Show Cause Notice. The PIO submitted in the reply that he is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information. He further submitted that in future he will remain vigilant towards his duties and would provide the sought for Information to the applicants within the stipulated period. He tendered unconditioned apology.

So taking into consideration of the facts of the case and the reply submitted by the PIO, the Show Cause Notice issued to the PIO is dropped and filed.

As the information has been provided to the complainant/applicant by the PIO, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Ravinder Kataria, (M: 94631-33590), # 64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar, Dugri Road, Ludhiana.



Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-178, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh. Appellant

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3198 of 2019

Present:- Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant is present. Shri Dharminder Singh, Steno is present on behalf of Shri Sukhwinder Kumar, PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Ravinder Kataria, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.05.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Mohali. A reply was sent to him by the PIO vide letter No.1592 dated 03.06.2019. Then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.06.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.08.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the present Bench. Notice was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant as well as the nominee of the PIO are present today. The respondent stated that the PIO has wrote letter no.1592 dated 03.06.2019 to the appellant and asked him to visit the office of the PIO on any working day for inspection of the record. The respondent further stated that the appellant has not visited the office of the PIO for inspection.

On the other hand the appellant stated that he has visited the office of the PIO, but no incharge of the record met him in the office. So, in the interest of justice an opportunity is granted to the appellant to visit the office of the PIO on 06.11.2019 at 2:00 P.M. and the PIO, Shri Sukhwinder Kumar will be responsible to provide the relevant record to the appellant for

Appeal Case No. 3198 of 2019

inspection and provide him attested copies of information which will be claimed by the appellant after the inspection. In case the appellant would not visit the office of the PIO for inspection then the PIO should write a letter to the Commission that the appellant has not complied with the Order of the Commission.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 23.10.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Jasbir Singh, (M: 98882-96107), Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana. Punjab State / formation

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-178, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-178, Sector 17-C, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3202 of 2019Present:-Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Gurpal Singh APIO is present on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was provided to him by the PIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 13.07.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.08.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the present Bench. Notice was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Shri Gurpal Singh, APIO has come present on behalf of the PIO and he stated that the information has already been provided to the appellant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied and also requested to dispose of his appeal case.

As the information has been provided to the appellant by the PIO and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner Punjab

2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector 125, Kharar, Distt. Mohali. Por PSiC Usis

Vs

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/ o,Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority: O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3224 of 2019

Present:- Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant is present. Shri Vikram Singh, Clerk is present on behalf of Ms. Nain Bhullar, PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Jalandhar.

ORDER

Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 10.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Jalandhar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.07.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.09.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent has come present along with attested copies of information, which is provided to the appellant today before the Commission. The appellant has gone through the information and stated that the information is related with para no.1 of the RTI application and he is satisfied with this information. He further stated that no information has been provided to him related with para no.2 of the RTI application.

So, the PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Jalandhar is directed that information related with para no.2 of the RTI application be sent to the appellant through registered post within a week at the address of the appellant given on the RTI application and come present on the next date of hearing along with copy of information and copy of postal receipt.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**



Shri Manjinder Singh (9872015069), 2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector 125, Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/ o,Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur..

First Appellate Authority: O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3225 of 2019

Present:- Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant is present. None present on behalf of Shri Tarlochan Singh Sahota, PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Ferozepur.

ORDER

Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 23.05.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 08.07.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.09.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is present today, but none has come present on behalf of the PIO. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO till today.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the First Appellate Authority which was received in the office of the Commission on 14.10.2019 and diarized vide no. 19804. It is a copy of letter no. 29146 dated 10.10.2019 and through this letter the First Appellate Authority i.e. Deputy State Transport Commissioner, Punjab directed the PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Ferozepur to appear before the Commission on 23.10.2019, but inspite of the Notice issued by the Commission and letter written by the First Appellate Authority, the PIO has not come present today. The conduct of the PIO, Shri Tarlochan Singh Sahota amounts to disrespect towards his duty and law.

So the PIO is directed that the information sought by the appellant through para no. 1 and 2 of the RTI application be sent to him through registered post within a week. In case

of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, strict action will be taken against Shri Tarlochan Singh Sahota, PIO and a Show Cause Notice will be issued to him.

The PIO should personally come present on the next date of hearing along with copy of information and copy of postal receipt.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 23.10.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Manjinder Singh (9872015069), 2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector 125, Kharar, Distt. Mohali.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/ o,Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority: O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3226 of 2019

Present:- Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant is present. None present on behalf of Shri Arvind Kumar, PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Patiala..

ORDER

Shri Manjinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 8.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.07.2019. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.09.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

The appellant is present today, but none has come present on behalf of the PIO. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO till today.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the First Appellate Authority which was received in the office of the Commission on 14.10.2019 and diarized vide no. 19814. It is a copy of letter no. 29144 dated 10.10.2019 and through this letter the First Appellate Authority i.e. Deputy State Transport Commissioner, Punjab directed the PIO-cum-Secretary, RTA, Patiala to appear before the Commission on 23.10.2019, but inspite of the Notice issued by the Commission and letter written by the First Appellate Authority, the PIO has not come present today.

The conduct of the PIO, Shri Arvind Kumar amounts to disrespect towards his duty and law.

Appeal Case No. 3226 of 2019

So, the PIO is directed that the information sought by the appellant through para no. 1 and 2 of the RTI application be sent to him through registered post within a week. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission, strict action will be taken against Shri Arvind Kumar, PIO and a Show Cause Notice will be issued to him.

The PIO should personally come present on the next date of hearing along with copy of information and copy of postal receipts.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 23.10.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Navdeep Singh, S/o Shri Major Singh, (M: 87258-65270), R/o Ward No.6, Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

..... Complainant

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ

Informatio

Puttin Punjau

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Compliant Case No. 787 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Navdeep Singh, complainant/applicant is present. S.I. Satnam Singh, No.395/ASR is present on behalf of Shri Dhruv Dahiya, PIO-cum-SSP, Tarn Taran.

ORDER

Shri Navdeep Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 25.06.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o SSP, Tarn Taran. A reply was sent to him by the PIO vide letter no. 1395 dated 13.07.2019. But not satisfied with the reply he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.08.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. The PIO sent a reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant and the information is denied U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005 stating that the investigation of FIR No. 93 dated 15.06.2019 registered at P.S. City, Patti is still under investigation. I have heard the complainant/applicant and he sought of copies of Parvanas issued to him (complainant/applicant) :-

- 1. Copy of Parvana No. 418-5E dated 26.04.2019.
- 2. Copy of Parvana No. 437-5E dated 28.04.2019
- 3. Copy of Parvana No. 44-5E dated 30.04.2019.

The copies of Parvanas if provided to the complainant/applicant. would not affect the investigation of the case as the Parvanas were issued to the complainant/applicant.

So, the PIO is ordered and directed that copies of Parvanas as mentioned above, if issued in the name of the complainant/applicant be provided to him within 5 days.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **30.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Shri Sukhdev Singh, (M: 98142-78672), S/o Shri Hajara Singh, R/o Village Khairdinke, PO Bahoru, Tehsil & Distt. Tarn Taran.



..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SDM, Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Compliant Case No. 791 of 2019

Present:- Shri Sukhdev Singh, complainant/applicant is absent. None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o SDM, Tarn Taran.

ORDER

Shri Sukhdev Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 24.12.2018 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o SDM, Tarn Taran. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.09.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the present Bench. Notice was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are absent today. I have gone through the RTI application and the complainant/applicant sought attested copies of nomination papers for the post of Panch filed by Shri Nirvail Singh, S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh, R/o Gram Panchayat Khairdeen Ke, Block Gandiwind, Tehsil & Distt. Tarn Taran.

The PIO, O/o SDN, Tarn Taran is directed that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and file reply to the complaint filed by the complainant/applicant. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission action will be taken against the PIO under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Jagbir Singh, (M: 98146-69254)m S/o Shri Ajaib Singh, H.No. 24A, Sahibzada Jujhar Singh Nagar, Airport Road, Amritsar. AT JE AT AND A AND

..... Complainant

.....Respondent

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Chief Secretary, SGPC, Amritsar.

Compliant Case No. 792 of 2019

Present:- Shri Jagbir Singh, complainant/applicant is present. Shri Kuldeep Singh is present on behalf of Shri Simarjit Singh, PIO, O/o SGPC, Amritsar.

Shri Jagbir Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 03.07.2019 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Chief Secretary, SGPC, Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the PIO then he filed complaint against the PIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.09.2019. The case was registered and allocated to the present Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today.

Both the parties are present today. I have gone through the RTI application.

The PIO sent a reply to the Commission which was received on 22.10.2019 diarized vide no. 20471, which is a copy of letter dated 22.10.2019. I have gone through the reply and it is submitted by the PIO that another Complaint Case no.1120 of 2017 was disposed of on 09.01.2019 by the Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Punjab, Shri Yashvir Mahajan and a copy of the Order is annexed with the letter. It is further submitted that the record which was available in the office of the PIO had already been provided to the complainant/applicant. It is further submitted in the reply that a case is still pending before the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court which was filed by Shri Jagbir Singh against the SGPC and the complainant/applicant is seeking the same information again and again. It is further submitted that the information was provided to the complainant/applicant vide letter no. 60137 dated 24.12.2018 in Complaint Case no. 1120 of 2017.

I have heard the complainant/applicant and he himself admitted and in the RTI application of the complainant/applicant, it is cleared that the copies of resolutions had already been provided to him.

Compliant Case No. 792 of 2019

Shri Kuldeep Singh, who is present on behalf of the PIO stated that the remaining record is in the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court. So the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with a direction to the PIO that whenever the record will be received back in the office of the PIO, then the remaining information be provided to the complainant/applicant within 15 days from the receipt of record.

Dated: 23.10.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Satish Kumar, (M: 98155-82999), H.No. B-5/18, Near Mahavir Mandir, Rajpura Town, Distt. Patiala.



..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Superintendent, Irrigation Department, (Irrigation Personnel-3 Branch), Punjab Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Secretary, Irrigation Department, Punjab, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3015 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Satish Kumar, appellant is present. Shri Paramjit Singh, PIO-cum-Suptd., O/o Irrigation Department, (Irrigation Pers-3 Branch), Chandigarh.

ORDER

I have gone through the RTI application dated 27.05.2019 filed by the appellant and he is seeking information regarding posting of Shri Joginder Kumar, S/o Shri Vijay Kumar, Sr. Asstt. that presently in which office he is working.

The PIO has filed reply to the appeal through letter dated 23.10.2019 and it is submitted in the reply that the information is personal and denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.

I have heard both the parties. The appellant has not sought any information regarding the service of Shri Joginder Kumar, rather he is seeking information that in which office he is presently posted.

So, the PIO is directed that he should inform the appellant within 3 days that Shri Joginder Kumar S/o Shri Vijay Kumar, Sr. Asstt. is presently posted in which office.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **30.10.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Ram Singh, (M: 98781-68559), Ex-Warehouse Manager, Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, R/o 395, Lakar Mandi, Near Pal Da Aara, Doraha, Distt. Ludhiana. PSIC Lossie

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, SCO 74-75, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, SCO 74-75, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3058 of 2019

Vs

Present:- Shri Ram Singh, appellant is present. Smt Jagdev Kaur, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of Smt. Taranjit Kaur Bajwa, PIO, O/o Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, Chandigarh.

ORDER

I have gone through the RTI application dated 11.02.2019 filed by the appellant and discussed the sought for information with the appellant as well as with the respondent.

It is very much clear in the definition of information U/s 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005 which is as follows:-

" 'Information' means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any provide body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force".

Any citizen of India can sought information from the public authority, but the information should be within the purview of the definition of the 'information'.

The present RTI application filed by the appellant does not cover and comes within the purview of the definition of information under RTI Act, 2005. The appellant is seeking whimsical information.

Appeal Case No. 3058 of 2019

So the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant is at liberty to file fresh application and he can seek information which comes under the definition of information under the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 23.10.2019

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Sarabjeet Singh Gill (M: 98884-90031), H.No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street No. 8, Maha Singh Nagar, PO Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2023 OF 2019

Present:- Shri Balwinder Singh is present on behalf of Shri Sarabjeet Singh Gill, appellant. None present on behalf of Shri Ekjot Singh Chawla, PIO-cum-XEN, Zone-C, M.C., Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Balwinder Singh is present on behalf of Shri Sarabjeet Singh Gill, appellant and he submitted an Authority Letter, which is taken in the file of the Commission. He stated that as per the Order of the Commission the appellant submitted the list of 5 colonies falling under Zone-C of MC, Ludhiana to the PIO, but the PIO has not provided him any information till today.

I have gone through the Order dated 30.09.2019 and on that date Shri Avneet Singh, JE was present on behalf of Shri Ekjot Singh Chawla, PIO-cum-XEN, Zone-C, M.C., Ludhiana, but today none has come present on behalf of the PIO. It is a lapse in the duty on the part of the PIO and it shows that the PIO has no respect for the law and the Commission.

Today is the 5th hearing before the Commission and the RTI application was filed by the appellant on 25.02.2019. The appellant is suffering and the PIO is not providing him the information. So, under these circumstances a compensation of Rs.3,000/- is awarded in favour of the appellant, Shri Sarabjeet Singh Gill to be paid by the PIO from the funds of public authority through demand draft within 7 days.

The PIO is further directed that as per the list submitted by the appellant regarding 5 colonies falling under Zone-C be sent to him through registered post within 10 days. In case of non compliance of the Order of the Commission a Show Cause Notice will be issued

Appeal Case No. 2023 OF 2019

to Shri Ekjot Singh Chawla, PIO that why you have not provided the sought for information to the appellant within the stipulated period and so why you may not be penalized under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Both the parties should personally come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

Dated: 23.10.2019.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Jasbir Singh, (M: 98882-96107), Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority, O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2075 of 2019

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent. None is present on behalf of Shri Hardeep Singh, PCS, PIO-cum-Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot.

ORDER

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant though is absent, but he has sent an e-mail that no information has been provided to him by the PIO, which he sought through his RTI application dated 25.03.2019.

I have gone through the Order dated 30.09.2019 and a Show Cause Notice was issued to Shri Hardeep Singh, PCS, PIO-cum-RTA Faridkot on 12.09.2019.

The pendency of the Appeal Case is in the knowledge of the PIO as the Commission has received a mail sent by the First Appellate Authority i.e. Deputy State Transport Commissioner, Punjab on 17.09.2019 and diarized vide no.17663, which is a copy of letter no.26188 dated 11.09.2019 and through this letter the PIO was directed to come present before the Commission in the present case. Inspite of the knowledge of the pendency of the present appeal case the PIO is not coming present neither he has provided the information to the appellant.

So, under these circumstances a compensation of Rs.5,000/- is awarded in favour of the appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh to be paid by the PIO from the funds of public authority through demand draft within 7 days and be sent to the appellant through registered post.

The PIO is directed that the information sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 25.03.2019 be sent to him through registered post within a week.

Appeal Case No. 2075 of 2019

So, the PIO-cum-RTA, Faridkot is further directed that he should personally come present on the next date of hearing and file reply to the Show Cause Notice through duly attested affidavit and an opportunity of personal hearing will be afforded to him. In case he would not come present then it will be assumed that he is not interested in filing reply to the Show Cause Notice and the Show Cause Notice will be decided in his absence.

Both the parties to come present on the next date of hearing on **20.11.2019 at 11:00 A.M.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri Jasbir Singh, S/o Shri Harbans Singh, (M: 98153-97246), Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, Distt. Patiala.



..... Appellant

٧s

Public Information Officer, O/o Chief Engineer, PWD (B&R), Block-C, Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Chief Engineer, PWD (B&R), Block-C, Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2184 of 2018

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent. Shri Kuldeep Chand, SDE is present on behalf of Shri Ginder Singh, PIO-cum-XEN, O/o Center Works Division No.3, PWD B&R, Ludhiana.

ORDER

I have gone through the Order dated 30.09.2019. The appellant was directed to visit the office of the PIO for inspection on 10.10.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and the PIO was directed that relevant record be put up before the appellant for inspection and after making the inspection, the attested copies of documents, which will be claimed by the appellant be provided to him, but that must not be more than 50 pages. In case the appellant would not visit to the office of the PIO on the said date and time then it will be assumed that he is not interested in getting the information and the case will be heard and decided accordingly.

The appellant has not come present, rather he sent a mail, which was received in the office of the Commission on 21.10.2019 and diarized vide no.20306. It is submitted in the mail by the appellant that he is suffering from some health problem since July, 2019, but he used to come present before the Commission in the present case. He further stated that he could not went to the office of the PIO for inspection as was directed on the last date of hearing.

Three opportunities i.e. 13.08.2019, 24.09.2019, and 10.10.2019 were granted to the appellant for making the inspection of the record, but he failed to visit the office of the PIO on any date of inspection. The PIO or any authorized person on behalf of the PIO i.e. SDE or any other senior officer used to come present on every date of hearing before the Commission. The appellant is wasting the time of the public authority and he did not visited the office of the public authority for inspection. It seems he is not interested in getting the information. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.